

# Critique Of Pure Wokism

**Lawrence M. Bates**

Also By Lawrence M. Bates

Website:

<https://www.syntheticIntuition.com>

Books:

*Logic Bug - Why Godless Communist Atheists are Bad for Children, Flowers, and Other Things*

(Available on Amazon)

Essays:

[\*Critique Of Pure Fox News\*](#)

Android Apps:\*

*Real Clear Global Warming*

*Woke-English Dictionary*

\*If these apps don't show up in your search results, try:

To find *Real Clear Global Warming*-

Tap to: Google Play Store => Categories => Entertainment

In search field, type: Real Clear, tap below on Real Clear, then scroll down listings.

To find *Woke-English Dictionary*-

Tap to: Google Play Store => Categories => Books & Reference

In search field, type woke or Woke, tap below on woke or Woke, then scroll down listings.

# Contents

1. Introduction .....	1
2. Ancestral Brains .....	6
3. Human Brains .....	9
4. Woke Brains .....	13
5. Conclusion .....	21

# 1

## Introduction

The Woke control just about everything in this country. In the summer of 2022, we were two Senate votes away from the complete destruction of the form of government we call a constitutional republic. If enacted, the “Freedom to Vote Act” bill, or whatever bill it was, would have given the Democrat Party total control over all federal elections. They didn’t want this totalitarian control in order to ensure free and open elections.

They controlled the judiciary before Trump took the Supreme Court from them. They still, in a de facto manner, control the lower courts, the legal profession, the deep state bureaucracy, the media, eusocial platforms and big tech, education, entertainment, investment banks and corporations. Even sports channels and sports teams must pay homage to Wokenetics, either voluntarily or through the threats of Woke pressure groups. This all adds up to control of the culture as the population is bombarded 24/7 with the Wokedelic message.

Heck, even the annual Dulles Airport Plane Pull festival is now being repurposed as a “Pull for Inclusiveness.”

For sure, there are pockets of resistance here and there, like Fox News and talk radio, pro-freedom dead-enders who only reach a tiny percentage of the populace. No matter how powerful and poignant their message may be, it is drowned out and overwhelmed by the Woke memeplex machine.

How close are they to a total takeover? I’m surprised that they haven’t just engineered a coup d’état, declared martial law, and started roundups and mass arrests. The Woke U.S. military leadership has their backs. The Woke media and eusocial tech platforms will brush off any and all criticism as “right-wing attack machine propaganda.” In other countries, like Nicaragua, China, etc. (unfortunately, the list is very long), political enemies are imprisoned, flat-out murdered, or at the very least, disappeared. So, what are the Wokes waiting for?

I offer two reasons. The first is guns. Che Guevara said that you can’t have a revolutionary Marxist society and a free press. Check. LeBron James said that he thought free speech was a great idea, as long as the speech was pre-approved by the Communist Party. Check. And you can’t impose a psychotic Wokecratic totalitarian dictatorship on an armed freedom-loving people. You can try, but I bet it would get real ugly real fast. Like Joe Biden said, small-arms fire against F-35s probably wouldn’t be very effective.

To quote the great Karine Jean-Pierre, the greatest White House Press Secretary in American history, “Let me be clear.” The reason for the 2<sup>nd</sup> amendment is not about guaranteeing your ability to hunt for food or for protecting your spouse and kids from home

intruders, although these rights and protections are of course vitally important. The 2<sup>nd</sup> amendment is intended as a safeguard against tyranny, so that the government is afraid of you, instead of the other way around.

So, for the Wokes, gun confiscation is not priority #1, it's priority 0. However, they seem reluctant to pull the trigger when it comes to gun confiscation. It doesn't poll well yet, so they're pursuing a different strategy. Every time there's a school shooting, they race to the microphones to condemn gun ownership. They hope that over time, this incessant anti-gun meme-beaming will eventually wear down their opponents and lead to a consensus approving of gun confiscation. Gradualism, incrementalism, while slow and irksome, has proven extremely successful in the past and has led to the Woke dominance we see today.

If there really was an epidemic of school shootings, where one is too many, and you really wanted to stop it, the obvious answer would be to provide one or more armed guards to patrol and monitor the school grounds. Democrats vehemently oppose this. One plausible explanation for this position is that they want more kids to be gunned down while at school because each time it happens it gives them yet another opportunity to hammer home their gun confiscation message while you are in a state of emotional distress. See "I'm going to Disneyland" right after the Super Bowl ends for a relatively harmless example of psychologically manipulating emotionally vulnerable people.

If it was up to me, a person who at times immodestly considers himself to be a Jewish Marxist Intellectual, I would simply state that guns have no place in an enlightened and technologically advanced society. It's an anachronism from a more primitive time, and so the repeal of the 2<sup>nd</sup> amendment is long overdue. However, the Wokes can't do that. Making this kind of argument smacks of logical, rational thinking. That's not the way the Woke roll.

This brings me to the second reason why I think the Wokes just don't have the wherewithal to snap into action and close the deal, and that reason is directed evolution. For some, it started in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century with the Progressives, or proto-Wokes, if you will. For me, it's the 60s. Whichever starting date you prefer, it has now become a multigenerational project and the experiment is a success. As the saying goes, give me the youth and I'll give you the future. Well, after decades of Wokedelic cultural immersion and education, the future is here. Take a look at congresswoman Katherine Clarks' daughter. He/she/it/they is/are a tranny nonbinary dodecahedrally gendered victim of the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression. He/she/it/they was/were arrested for assaulting a police officer, damaging private property, defacing a statue by spray painting it with anti-police slogans, the usual.

Now, I don't mean to pick on the Clarks. All families at some time or another struggle with issues. I would say that the Clarks are a typical American family. But in this instance, I blame the birthing person. For gosh sakes, if you're going to raise your kids to firebomb cop cars and churches while police are on the scene, then at least make sure they're wearing a mask so that the Justice Department has an excuse for not prosecuting them!

When we hear the phrase genetic engineering, we usually associate that with gene tweaking, such as incorporating a gene in corn that makes it drought-resistant. The Wokes we see today are the products of decades of directed cognitive genetic engineering, which makes sense. Squirrels gliding from tree to tree don't need sharper eyesight, they need webbing in their armpits. The Wokes don't need offspring with sharp talons or long fangs, they need offspring that have been adapted to overthrow American culture and replace it with their own, just as you would expect any organism to do. You may have heard of plans to colonize Mars by terraforming it. In an analogous fashion, the Wokes are busy Wokeforming our country. They are creating an environment that suits their kind.

For instance, lawyers, journalists, and teachers are all professions that we are familiar with. They are key elements of any rational culture and thus have been targeted by Woke-directed evolution for replication and replacement, Woke-style. I don't necessarily believe that this is wholly driven by intelligent volition. I really don't think that they know or understand what they are supposedly fighting for. But as with the gliding squirrels, the evolutionary changes we do observe are definitely heading on a predictable trajectory. The Wokes, like the squirrels, are simply evolving by responding to the environment that they find themselves in.

So, if you're a Woke lawyer, your function is to "legally" attack and destroy the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression called the Western Justice System. If you're a member of the Woke journalism caste, your function is to protect the hive from potentially damaging news and attack those who are perceived as threats to the hive. And if you're a Woke "teacher", the function you've been programmed to carry out is the Wokification of the next generation.

Keep in mind that these are not Africanized honey bees. Rather, they are Americanized Wokes, honed by evolutionary pressures to attack and destroy American culture within the context of existing American culture. That's why instead of just arresting Trump and torturing him to death on live tv, they used our current system of government to try and impeach him a couple of times, and when that failed, orchestrated the ridiculous J6 hearings.

This type of singularity of purpose is admired by evolutionary biologists, but is usually reserved for the eusocial insects, like honey bees and leafcutter ants. And there's the rub. While exquisitely evolved to perform specific functions, this is all they are capable of. Without a society to hate and destroy, they have no purpose. To be a brain-dead zombified Woke, one must integrate each and every toxic Woke meme without question and, most troubling of all, surrender the ability to engage in abstract symbolic thinking.

What is the difference between Woke "thinking" and abstract symbolic thinking? Here's an example. You grab the remote and turn on the tv, except it doesn't work. You click the button multiple times, you shake the remote, but nothing happens. Then it hits you. "I bet it's the batteries." You try putting in fresh batteries, and voilà, everything now works fine. This may sound silly, but look at the process. Problem – remote doesn't work. Formulate hypothesis –

needs new batteries. Set up experiment – replace batteries. Test – tv comes on. Problem solved. Notice how easily and naturally this common sensical approach to problem solving comes to us.

On the flip side, let's pick some low-hanging fruit, say Joy Reid of the ReidOut on MSNBC. Youngkin beats McAuliffe for the Virginia governorship. How can this be? Joy Reid goes to her brain, and her brain says "because of racism." The reason her brain tells her this is because that is all her brain can do. We laugh and call her an idiot, but by Woke mental standards, she's a genius.

Talk about synchronicity. Yesterday, two Democrats were expelled from their seats in the Tennessee State House for taking part in an insurrection, which is a felony. As if to prove my point, the Party issued a statement claiming that the expulsions were due to "racism and patriarchy." Homo sapiens are supposed to be good at pattern recognition. I'm starting to recognize a pattern. How about you?

Surely you've noticed that just about everyone appointed to prominent positions in the Biden Administration is both stupid and incompetent. When it comes to celebrating gender-fluid diversity, they're the champs, but run for your life if you need one of these creatures to change a lightbulb. They don't have the same conception of problem solving that we do. The only problem they consider needing a solution is eliminating opposition to their failed policies. The ability to solve a problem like a Homo sapiens would with facts, data, and common sense is unavailable to them.

"Think" of it this way. You and a honey bee are looking at a flower. You say to the honey bee, "What a pretty purple flower." The honey bee looks at you kind of funny and says (it's a smart honey bee), "Are you out of your mind? It's an ultraviolet colored flower." We can't see ultraviolet light. We can only see "visible" light. When we go to our brains to determine flower color, our brains come back with something like purple, because that's all our brains can do. On the other hand, the honey bee is set up to fly around and focus on finding ultraviolet colored flowers because that's where the nectar is. So, nobody's totally right and nobody's totally wrong. Each organism can only try to make sense of the world with whatever type of brain and tributary sensory organs that their DNA has cooked up for them.

I would like to take a moment now to explain my preference for the word Woke. I could call these creatures Communists, Socialists, Marxists, Progressives, Leftists or Liberals. Of course, some of them are just left-leaning liberals. If you want to say that Pelosi is a Leftist and not a Woke, that's fine. I consider it a distinction without a difference. Usually, calling someone a Leftist implies that they want to destroy Capitalism and replace it with Marxism. It also infers some sort of neurological activity specifically geared toward achieving a well-defined political goal, hence the phrase "Marxist Intellectual."

Wokism absorbs concepts like Marxism, but filters out all the intellectual aspects. AOC is a soi-disant Democratic Socialist, but would you consider her to be a Marxist Intellectual? How

about Joe Biden and Kamala Harris? Would anyone characterize them as “Marxist Intellectuals?”

For me, Woke is a handy-dandy umbrella term that covers the entire spectrum of Wokedelic behavior. When I call someone a Woke, I think you all know what I’m getting at generally, if not specifically. The word Woke conveys a certain *je ne sais quoi*, a certain hint at phantasmagorical non-reality which I believe is more appropriate.

One last thing before we move on. I’ve heard many times that the Woke can’t beat us in the marketplace of ideas. This is true. I agree 100%. Of course they can’t beat us in the marketplace of ideas, because they don’t have any. They can only reproduce the toxic memes implanted in them by the Party. That’s why I call them MZMRs (Memetically Zombified Memeplex Replicators). They can’t beat us in the marketplace of ideas, so they don’t even try. Instead, the tactics they use are what we would call lying, cheating, and stealing, but this is merely a chauvinistic interpretation based on our own peculiar mental level.

Trump recently said that he wants to defund the FBI. On the Woke-language news outlets, this statement was translated as “Trump wants to defund the police.” Perhaps, with your ability to engage in abstract symbolic thinking, you probably couldn’t help but notice a subtle difference between the two statements. In fact, if you’re of a certain mental level, you may have even said to yourself, “Wait a minute. That sounds familiar. Isn’t ‘Defund the Police’ one of those toxic Woke meme things?” You might even go so far as to say, “They’re lying.” This is called projection. You are projecting your antiquated, 200,000 year old sense of right and wrong onto these creatures. Morality, intelligence – these are characteristics, qualities, and attributes which the Woke do not possess. They are not immoral, they are amoral.

At one time, “Defund the Police” was a cause célèbre for the Wokes because the police are manifestations of the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression. When it suddenly started polling badly, they “accused” the Republicans of wanting to defund the police. But aren’t the police manifestations of the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression? Are the Republicans now the party that opposes the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression, and the Democrats are the party that supports the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression? Now Trump says he wants to defund the FBI/police. Does this mean that the Wokes are now all a bunch of ultra mega MAGA Trump supporters because he opposes the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression? Or do they all hate Trump, because he doesn’t support the Fascist White Supremacist System of Oppression?

I’m confused, because I thought about it. The Woke are never confused, because they never think about anything.

For Wokes who can’t read and write and already know everything there is to know anyway, the next two chapters may be skipped. However, to get some background and a quick recap on who we are and where we came from, reading the next two chapters is highly recommended.

## 2

# Ancestral Brains

Pick a number. How about 1 million BCE? Perhaps you prefer something a little closer to home, a bit more modern, like 10,000 BCE. You chased that rabbit down, killed it, and now you're walking back to camp to prepare it for dinner. Out of the corner of your eye, you can't help but notice that there's a saber-toothed tiger in the bushes checking you out. In fact, you can sense that it's in the process of calculating its angle of attack, so that it can bring you down, kill you, and eat you.

You're not fast enough to outrun it, nor strong enough to fight it. You don't have fangs or claws to fend off your attacker. You're pretty much defenseless against a saber-toothed tiger who's decided that you're the main entrée. What to do? Well, I guess you had better think fast.

Depending on who you ask, the story has a happy ending. Today, there are plenty of *Homo sapiens* (wise man) walking around. In fact, we are currently this planet's apex predator. However, there are no more saber-toothed tigers. As savage, vicious and fearsome as these animals must have been, they no longer exist. With our population about to reach 8 billion, it's kind of hard to argue that saber-toothed tigers went extinct because their food supply disappeared.

The earliest known instance of a DNA configuration that we can trace our ancestry back to comes in the form of *Homo habilis*, or handy man. This was about 2.6 million years ago, and, rightly or wrongly, *habilis* is considered the first member of the newly christened genus *Homo*. Although very ape-like in appearance, *habilis* was new and different in a couple of key ways. It was bipedal (walked on two legs) which freed up the arms to fashion stone tools. This became conceptually doable because *habilis* also had a little bigger mammalian brain than the kind that DNA usually produced. Still, *habilis* was, like most of its descendants, just another animal in the wild struggling for survival.

*Habilis* came and went and was succeeded by other species of hominins, like *ergaster* and *erectus*, always coming equipped with bigger brains and thus potentially more abstract functionality. Knapping chunks of obsidian to create razor-sharp rock edges became what? Instinctually ingrained? Passed on by culture? Common sense? After 1 million years of this, one of our ancestors suddenly had an idea, presumably from out of the blue. "If I flip the rock over, and knap this side like I did the other side, my rock will be even sharper!" That only took a million years for someone to figure out! That's why we're the smart ones.

This brings us to our not-so-distant cousin, *Homo neanderthalensis*. Neanderthals made spears and hunted large animals. They had fire. They probably wore skins to keep warm, maybe even

constructed dwellings. They're considered AMH's (fully anatomically modern humans) because they looked like us and we look like them, more or less. When Neanderthal fossils were first discovered, people were shocked at how similar they were to humans. This made the Old Testament story of how God went out of His way to uniquely create mankind look bad. So, Neanderthals became the focus of a smear campaign – they weren't like us, they were brutes, cavemen, savages, animals.

In recent years, an attempt has been made to rehabilitate Neanderthals and reverse a hundred years of bad press. They were intelligent. They were all geniuses. Someone found a clam shell near a Neanderthal fossil site, so that means they were on the verge of spaceflight.

The truth, as usual, is probably somewhere in the middle of these two extremes. At ancient human sites, we find bone flutes, necklaces, sewing needles, and, of course, there are the cave paintings. At Neanderthal sites, we've found none of these things. Neanderthals had brains as big, or even bigger, than ours. They were the smartest thing going on this planet for a few hundred thousand years. They were good, but not good enough for DNA apparently, because they never "turned on." No cave paintings, no sewing needles, no writing, and no spaceships pointed at Mars. No real symbolic thinking, at least by H. sapiens standards.

All this changed when we humans first appeared some 200 thousand years ago. We were still basically just animals in the wild, but you have to admit, travelling several miles on foot to a flea market in order to trade a state-of-the-art hand axe for an exotic necklace for your girlfriend is a next-level type of brain function. It's an entirely new way of thinking, a new class of problem solving. DNA had finally made a brain that could do more than just be hungry, thirsty, and afraid.

We're here now debating capital gains tax rates because for millions of years our ancestors were able to survive and reproduce in classic Darwinian fashion. Why go the brain route? Well, according to epigenetics, it turns out that DNA is extremely sensitive to behavior and environment. Your genes don't necessarily change, but the way your body reads them and expresses them does. For instance, with epigenetics it can be posited that the reason a giraffe has an elongated neck is because for generations it was constantly stretching it to get at food at higher elevations. DNA knows how to make a neck, so to accommodate the giraffe's behavior, it responded to its environment by just making a longer one.

Classical Darwinian evolution is premised on the idea of tiny random accidental mutations building up over millions of years to eventually produce a new species. Here I offer some examples found in nature that seemingly stem from what at first are epigenetically temporary, reversible changes that eventually lead to heritable characteristics and speciation.

You're a male squirrel. In a tree across the way, there's a really hot female squirrel. Driven by the DNA imperative, you try to jump from your tree to her tree with the aim of crash-landing as gently as possible. You spread out your limbs to act as airbrakes, which helps a little. Over time, some squirrels started to develop flimsy webbing in their armpits which allowed them to more aerodynamically glide from one tree to another. One explanation as to how this occurs is by

blind, random mutations that accrue benefits. Could it be possible that after a series of painful leaps from one tree to another that the squirrel's DNA took the hint and repurposed some armpit genes to make webbing? Either way, the gliding squirrels were more likely to survive and reproduce than their non-gliding competitors.

Now you're a bird with wings and can fly. There are no trees to fly up to for nest building purposes, and the environment is relatively predator-free, so you don't need to suddenly fly out of danger very often. You see a worm. You could fly over there and eat the worm, or you could just walk over. Flying's kind of a bummer, takes a lot of energy and aerodynamic calculations, so every time you have a choice between flying and walking, you choose to walk instead. After a while, your DNA notices that you never fly anymore. Why spend all that time and resources on creating and maintaining wings when they're never used? So, your DNA eventually deactivates the wing genes and you become a flightless bird. You're still a bird like all other birds, just a different species.

Making decisions not only changes your physiology, it also changes your brain in order to integrate the concurrent physical adaptations. When it's time to go, your brain no longer says fly away, it says walk away.

This applies to humans as well. I was at the dentist awhile back and the dentist was bewailing the existence of wisdom teeth. "Wisdom teeth are absolutely worthless! They're used for nothing! I wish wisdom teeth would disappear!" Sure enough, two weeks later I saw this headline: "Wisdom teeth no longer appearing in newborns."

# 3

## Human Brains

“Using a cell phone changes your brain!” screams the headline. Wow! Head for the hills! Well, I’ve got news for you. Being alive changes your brain. If your brain never changes, it’s probably because you’re either dead or a Woke. Do a thought experiment. Compare your cognitive abilities of when you were 5 or 6 years old to the way that you think now. Do you “think” anything has changed brain-wise over the course of your lifetime? Have you ever learned anything new?

The first time I ever tried to call somebody, I had to learn how to operate a rotary dial telephone. My brain changed in order to incorporate this new technology, and so I was able to successfully adapt to the rotary dial telephone environment that I found myself in. Next came push button phones, and my brain welcomed this new advancement. Today, I tap an icon on a screen to make a call, or perhaps just issue a context-sensitive voice command. This farms out all the mundane, repetitive tasks of making a phone call to a computer system, allowing my brain to concentrate on more important matters.

Physiologically speaking, DNA has been doing bones and muscles for 1g Earth gravity for hundreds of millions of years now, most strikingly seen in gargantuan dinosaur bones. You would think that just because you’ve been weightless in Earth orbit for a couple of months that your DNA would go on blithely maintaining your bones and muscles. Instead, just the opposite happens. After just a few months, your DNA notices that you don’t need bones or muscles anymore, so those maintenance routines are deactivated. Bones lose their density and muscles atrophy. When I was a kid, it was common to see an astronaut returning to Earth being carted around in a wheelchair, because if he/she/it/they tried to stand up, his/her/its/their leg bones would shatter into a million pieces. Now, whenever you see a video from the ISS, you routinely see astronauts pumping iron and working the treadmill in an effort to try and trick their DNA into thinking that they still need their bones and muscles.

Back on Earth, you go to the gym. You strain to lift barbells that are too heavy for you. This stresses your biceps beyond their normal capacity and injures them. You rest, giving your DNA a chance to repair the damage. As far as your DNA knows, you exist in some strange place where you have to constantly lift objects that are too heavy for you. So, not only does DNA attempt to make repairs, it actually makes your biceps bigger and stronger in order to accommodate your behavior.

It is my contention that the brain/DNA nexus works in the same way. The more you rely on your brain, the more you challenge it, the more you stress it beyond its normal capacity, the more your DNA will “say” to itself, “Whatever I am or whatever this strange environment is, I sure do

need to use the brain to think a lot. I better make my brain bigger and stronger in order to accommodate this behavior.” By the same token, if you never use your brain for anything cerebral, your DNA will take the hint, and like astronauts in orbit, will stop wasting time and energy on an organ that’s superfluous and will deactivate your ability to engage in abstract symbolic thinking.

It is in this vein that I’m going to keep tabs on Ketanji Brown Jackson’s career. When interviewing for a seat on the Supreme Court, she was asked to offer a definition of a woman. She said she couldn’t because she’s not a biologist. She seemed to wince and squirm when she said it, a sign of uneasiness, but she had no choice. If she lets on that she knows the difference between a man and a woman, then she’s not a Woke and has no business being seated on the Supreme Court. If she continues on this path, as she undoubtedly will, will her DNA eventually take the hint and deactivate her brain because she will have decided over and over again not to use it for anything except being Woke?

So, if I were a math teacher and a student asked me when are we ever going to use any of this stuff in real life, my answer would be, just before I got fired, “For you, probably never. But ask yourself this question: when in life will you ever need to use your brain?”

There are three things which I believe distinguish Homo sapiens from all other living creatures on this planet. They are speech and language, reading and writing, and mathematics. Each item represents an ascending level of functionality unique to our species which can only come about by the ability of our brains to engage in abstract symbolic thinking.

Speech is low-hanging fruit for us. We come with a gene that facilitates speaking and automatically integrates one’s native language. If you’re born in France, you’re most likely to learn how to speak French. If you’re born in Laos, you’ll probably grow up learning how to speak Lao. In Canada, a bilingual country, you’ll be exposed to both French and English. And in the United States, another bilingual country, you’ll probably end up speaking English or Woke, or in some cases, both.

Much has been made about how gorillas can communicate in human sign language. This tells me that gorillas would like to speak, but just don’t have the equipment to do it. The DNA that makes gorillas gave them some intelligence, but not a larynx and vocal cords that can produce complex sounds and thus complex speech. Neanderthals may have suffered the same fate, as they were obviously intelligent, but may have been physiologically incapable of generating the complex sounds needed to create a complex language. This is suggested as a reason why big-brained Neanderthals never “turned on” and reached the level of abstract symbolic thinking that we as sapiens take for granted.

Granted, small groups of hunter-gatherer sapiens only needed enough language to know which berries are ok to eat and which aren’t, and the hunting of large animals was probably more successful and safer when actions were coordinated verbally and in advance. Life for us must have gone on like this for thousands of years. Then, even with the advent of the Agricultural

Revolution and a settled, stationary village lifestyle, it still took another 5 or 10 thousand years for writing to first appear around 3500 BCE.

I remember the moment that I realized that I could read. I must have been about 4 or 5 years old. I came home from school one day with a book handed out by the teacher\*. We students had been spending our school days going over something called an alphabet. A, ah, b, bah, c, caw, whatever. Anyway, I sat down in a corner and opened the book up. Somehow, someway, without me knowing how or why, my brain was able to translate the symbols on the page into comprehensible thought. "See the cat. See the cat run." I jumped up. "Mom, Dad, I can read!" "Yes Larry, not now Larry." No matter. Of course, at that age I really didn't understand anything that was going on, but my brain must have thought it was important, because I remember that moment to this day. I've been reading ever since.

There is only one life form on this planet that can read and write, and that's us, Homo sapiens. Ok, two, if you consider transitional Homo wakensis a separate species. Reading and writing represents the next level of intelligence because it activates the abstract symbolic thinking functionality in your sapiens brain. Fortunately for us, abstract symbolic thinking is a standard feature that's shipped to us directly from the factory. The kicker is that you have to be taught how to do it. Unlike picking up a language, which your brain does for you automatically, you just can't watch somebody reading and writing and figure out how to do it on your own.

"Think" about an analogy. I bought a new smart phone awhile back. One of the charges was for a \$30 "activation fee." Fine, just another cheesy way to hike the final price. Internet, phone, text, email – all that functionality is already built-in and ready to go. It just needs to be activated first. I assume this entails someone typing in my phone number on some console somewhere and then pressing enter. At that moment, my smart phone doesn't become part of a neural network, but rather a global computerized system.

For all you ultra-rational, chaos theory, information theory, and game theory freak-a-zoids out there, I'll bet you a dollar to the doughnut that this is the first time in Earth history that some sentient organism has had the capability to engage in instantaneous global communications. I can't quite put my finger on it, but something tells me that this, along with the advent of AI, will change our brains. I won't venture a guess as to what form that change will take. And the answer to your question is yes, reading too many William Gibson novels changes your brain.

Finally, we come to mathematics. It's the next step up on the intelligence ladder from reading and writing. I readily concede my bias, as I used to be a Math Major. I could just as easily have

\*Yes, I'm showing my age. Believe it or not, there once was a time when parents sent their kids to places called "schools" where "teachers" would "teach" them things like how to read and write. In fact, reading, writing, and arithmetic used to be called "the basics."

chosen to showcase music, poetry, and so on. But as far as I'm concerned, all these skills are predicated on first learning a language and then being able to read and write. After that, anything that challenges your brain to try and integrate more complexity and abstractness will do the trick. Your brain will grow and expand in order to accommodate your behavior. It's just that for me, math is the purest form of abstraction because we use it to describe "reality" with arbitrary symbols.

Congratulations! You've made it through Brains 101. It's now time to get to the Wokes.

# 4

## Woke Brains

Learning to ride a bike is the gateway to your brain's built-in balancing routines. At first, you're wobbling all over the place, then suddenly, from out of nowhere, something clicks in and maintaining your balance comes as naturally as breathing. If you never try to ride a bike, you'll never discover that your brain has this balancing ability and you'll never become aware of its existence.

By the same token, learning to read and write is the gateway to your brain's built-in ability to engage in abstract symbolic thinking. Learning to read forces your brain to convert otherwise meaningless abstract symbols into conscious thought and knowledge. Only human brains can do this. This level of intelligence has been our preferred survival strategy for thousands of years now and it's what separates us from all other living things on this planet. However, if nobody ever teaches you how to read and write, this unique ability will never be activated and you'll never become aware of its existence.

Back in the late 80s, I worked for a company based in Maryland. One day, the boss gathered all the employees together and asked us to contribute to an organization called Baltimore Reads, whose mission it was to help inner-city kids learn how to read and write. It was a worthy cause, and would show our company's commitment to the community. Of course, we all pitched in. However, I began to wonder. "If these parents want their kids to learn how to read and write, why don't they just send them to school?" It was only years later that I came to understand that the reason that these inner-city kids couldn't read and write was exactly because they were going to school – schools owned and operated by the Democrat Party.

How many times have you seen these types of headlines over the last few decades? Reading and writing test scores decline for the 50<sup>th</sup> straight year. 75% of inner-city teens are functionally illiterate. Only 33% of U.S. eighth graders can read at an eighth-grade level of proficiency. Podunk Valley parents are taking their children out of the school system and switching to home-schooling because their kids are way behind on reading, writing, and math.

Recently, a certain Baltimore school district (proudly?) announced that their students tested out at a 0% proficiency in math. Likewise in Chicago, except that they one-upped Baltimore. They reported a 0% proficiency by grade level across the board - reading, writing, and math. Is prohibiting children from learning how to read and write a failure, or a success? Why do you think the Teachers Union, in conjunction with the Democrat Party, fights tooth and nail to keep kids from going to Charter Schools?

Can you imagine trying to make your way in a tech-driven world without being able to read, write, or do any math? Whenever you examine areas owned and operated by the Democrat Party, you see populations decimated by hopelessness and despair. No kidding. How in the world is anyone ever going to be qualified to land one of Bill Clinton's good-paying high-tech jobs, like designing 30 nanometer microchips, if they can't read, write, or do any math?

This systematic cognitive degradation is not happening by accident. Low-IQ people are easier to manipulate, indoctrinate, brainwash and control. If you wanted to crash a rational society, this seems like a good way to go about it, although I hesitate to assign intelligent intentionality to their efforts.

What are the ramifications for society, culture, and civilization in general if half of the population is rational and the other half is incapable of abstract symbolic thinking and that the vacuum created by this cognitive deficiency is filled by Wokism? Well, I guess we're going to find out.

Remember George W. Bush? He was president of the United States from 2001-2009. Early in his presidency he declared himself the "Education President" and with overwhelming bipartisan support from Congress signed into law the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. It probably received bipartisan support because the bill threw tens of billions of dollars at the Teachers Union. Of course, the law was a dismal failure as money is not the reason why kids are not allowed to learn how to read and write.

Why I bring this up is because a few years later, during the heat of a presidential campaign, I saw yard signs that said Bush had cut funding for education. As usual, these were drastic, draconian cuts to the bone. Wait a minute, I said to myself. Isn't Bush the "Education President?" Didn't the NCLB increase education spending by billions of dollars? Hating Bush is one thing, but I didn't understand why the yard signs said that he had cut funding for education, which was obviously untrue. Sure, they could just be lying for the usual partisan reasons, but the violation of the concept of objective reality within this context struck me as more than odd. As the years went on, this Woke-brain vs. human-brain dichotomy became ever more readily apparent.

Here are a few examples I picked out to showcase the differences between the cognitive levels of human brains and Woke brains. While each instance may be considered an isolated data point, I believe that the behavior exhibited can be confidently extrapolated to most, if not all, of Wokedom.

Rachel Maddow, the #1 on-air personality at MSNBC, recently refused to televise Trumps' post-arraignment speech. She explained that there are negative consequences for a news outlet that broadcasts lies and disinformation. This is, of course, a lie. Maddow gleefully ran with the Trump-Russia collusion hoax for 2 or 3 years without ever expressing any concerns about spreading lies and disinformation. Human brains would immediately identify this type of

behavior as ironic. The Woke brain is not self-aware, and thus not capable of understanding irony.

Also please note the complete absence of guilt, shame, remorse or embarrassment whenever one of their intrigues, like the Trump-Russia collusion hoax, is exposed as a fraud. These types of emotional responses are hard-wired in humans, which could help explain morality, but not, apparently, in Wokes, which could help explain their amorality.

Now, Maddow could have just told the truth, that Wokes hate Trump and they're afraid that if they air Trumps' speech, some members of their audience could revert back to something resembling normalcy. For Maddow and the Wokes though, truth is not an option. By the same token, they don't know that they're lying either. They have evolved to the point that if a narrative is good for the hive mind, they run with it. Whether the narrative is true or false is irrelevant. If a narrative is bad for the hive mind, they censor it. Again, whether the narrative is true or false is irrelevant. There is precious little neurological activity associated with their "decision-making." It's more instinctual.

That's why on Monday the Wokes can adamantly endorse a topic, like defund the police, and then on Tuesday just as adamantly oppose it. When Republicans are in power, the Senate filibuster is sacred. When Democrats are in power, the filibuster is racist. Notice how the Woke brain never notices any inconsistencies. They just absorb the toxic memes without hesitation, even if they conflict with each other on a daily basis. Obviously, the concept of hypocrisy, let alone irony, is no longer operational in the Woke brain.

Comedians complain that they can't be funny anymore. If they tell a joke, and people laugh, the Wokes will beat them up and get them fired. This is a problem, because if you can't be funny, you can't be a comedian.

Sure, a Woke-language late-night talk show host could say that Trump is Hitler and everyone in the audience will laugh and applaud. The only problem is that humans will notice right away that this is not funny and that it's certainly not comedy.

Why are the Wokes crusading against humor? I'm not quite sure. Having a sense of humor may be a unique facet of the human psyche and so not applicable to Wokes. Some would argue that having a good laugh from time to time is good for the soul, which again would exclude the Wokes. Humor might be some sort of blasphemous violation of sacred Woke taboos that I'm simply not familiar with. After all, "getting a joke" requires some neurological activity, which is frowned upon in Woke society. Also, since Wokeness is a political philosophy dependent upon its adherents being in a constant state of seething rage and hatred, you can understand why a sense of humor has no place in the Woke framework.

Laughter makes you feel better, puts you in a good mood, even strengthens your immune system. Rage and hatred weaken it. That might explain why 80,000 maskless people can cram

themselves together in a football stadium and not get sick, while putting 10 or 20 Wokes on an airplane leads to a super-spreader event.

Have you ever wondered why Wokes never seem to exhibit any degree of curiosity? That's because humans are naturally curious and Wokes are naturally incurious. This is a result of the overall decades-long process of creating a population of low-intelligence, cognitively degraded individuals who ingest toxic memes and obey orders without thinking. Curiosity is unnecessary and discouraged, as it could lead to knowledge and a more agile mind.

For example, Pete Buttigieg, our beloved Sec. Trans, was recently asked to comment on an extremely anomalous 25% increase in traffic fatalities in some city or area. Upon hearing about this, I immediately started speculating that in Democrat Party controlled areas, where booze and drugs have been de facto legalized, there's a lot of people driving around and walking around while they're drunk and/or high on dope. I bet that this could be a factor in the huge spike in traffic fatalities. Also, there could be an intersection or two that, with all-way stop signs put in, might help mitigate the carnage. In any event, a look at the data would I'm sure prove to be illuminating. Are most of the deaths occurring at a particular intersection or particular type of intersection? Were the victims or perpetrators at the time of the incidents drunk or high on dope? If the data says my hypotheses are way-off-base, good. My ideas can be rejected and a search for a better solution can continue. There's a problem involving needless deaths, and my fellow humans and I would naturally search for a remedy.

Not so for Wokes. Here's what Buttigieg was "thinking" when asked about this issue.

"I'm still surprised that some people were surprised when I pointed to the fact that if a highway was built for the purpose of dividing a white and a black neighbourhood, or if an underpass was constructed such that a bus carrying mostly black and Puerto Rican kids to a beach — or that would have been — in New York was designed too low for it to pass by, that obviously reflects racism that went into those design choices."

Maybe Buttigieg is on to something here. I would never have thought of that. We need to go back and recheck the data to see how many times busloads of black and Puerto Rican kids were decapitated by overpasses intentionally designed by racists to perform this ghoulish task.

Buttigieg is reputed to be a high-IQ person, and I understand that English is not his first language. Still, it is telling. Was his statement a result of a calculated political decision, or was it Woke-instinct at work? What's the difference? It's like asking someone if they're physically addicted to crack cocaine or psychologically addicted. A human brain would look for answers. A Woke brain spews toxic memes that mentally damage both the emitter and the receiver. To be Woke means deactivating your brain and surrendering the ability to engage in abstract symbolic thinking, something we humans do naturally and take for granted.

Also please note the absence of any hint of problem-solving acumen in their pronouncements. Calling the roads racist may be standard Woke meme-casting, but it will do nothing to help reduce the number of traffic fatalities.

This concept is pervasive and key to Wokedom. Blacks are meme-beamed relentlessly that racist cops want to kill them in order to maintain the racial purity of the communities that they patrol. Trannies are told ceaselessly that they are the targets of non-Woke genocidal maniacs who want to exterminate them. In fact, every day thousands of blacks are murdered by racist police, and every day thousands of trannies are murdered by white Christian Nationalists. Have you noticed that Wokes never question this or show the least little bit of curiosity as to whether these types of toxic memes are true or not? An incurious mind is in stasis and induces a relatively low-IQ kind of vegetative state.

Then there's the 100 "scientists" who published a letter stating that coronavirus infections are caused by White Supremacy. It was also postulated by Woke "scientists" that if you riot for social justice by firebombing cop cars and churches, that somehow this activity confers immunity upon you from coronavirus infection. You might as well claim that coronavirus was created by evil spirits and that infections are indicative of demonic possession. This is not a totally new phenomenon - it's called Lysenkoism. If you're interested, you can, as Casey Stengel used to say, "Look it up." However, what is new is the motivation behind these statements. It's not necessarily to promote some Marxist policy agenda. It's to promote Wokism.

I can understand some two-bit nutjob politician saying these things. But a scientist? How did we as a species go from  $E = mc^2$  and polio vaccines and spaceships to this abomination? Diseases like coronavirus infections can be matters of life and death. Yet these Woke "scientists" seem to believe that dumbing-down the public with anti-science Wokecratic revolutionary propaganda is more important. I find this tremendously upsetting, but then again, what do you expect from Woke scientists who no longer possess the ability to engage in abstract symbolic thinking?

No irony. No humor. No curiosity. No self-awareness. Science replaced by a bunch of Wokedelic mumbo-jumbo. Being inundated 24/7 with toxic Wokedelic messaging that transforms you into a brain-dead zombified meme-beaming imbecile changes your brain.

The idea that socialism will require a new species of hominin is not a new concept. Almost 100 years ago in Soviet Russia, the cadre of Marxist Intellectuals surrounding comrade Stalin argued that once a population had been forced to live under socialism, all subsequent generations would be naturally adapted to it. In other words, socialism is heritable. It's an audacious aspiration, because to be realized, it requires all individuals to surrender their hopes, wishes and dreams to the will of the State. Iconic personalities need to be neutralized and subsumed into the banality of the collective, akin to creating a eusocial hive mind. Belief in God needs to be redirected towards an atheism anchored by an undying devotion to the Party.

As stated in the Introduction, the effort to reshape American culture to fit a socialist template has been ongoing for decades and is multigenerational. It started out innocently enough, being

promoted by left-leaning news anchors and Marxist college professors. Now, the Wokes control just about every aspect of our culture. If you thought Marxist college professors were subverting our youth, take a gander at the “Libs of TikTok.” They’re not just remolding our society, they’re eviscerating it.

The project to undo American culture has been enormously successful, maybe too successful. Wokism has a high mutation rate, and is now unrecognizable from any of its Marxist, Communist, or Socialist antecedents. When was the last time someone called you a decadent bourgeoisie imperialist? Protest signs declaring “Capitalism Kills” have virtually gone extinct. Now, you’re either Woke or you’re nowhere. If you call yourself a liberal, you’re a joke. Progressivism is now considered passé and perhaps even a little bit quaint, as in “You Woke kids have it way too easy these days. When I was your age, I had to walk 10 miles through 5 feet of snow to get a sex change operation.”

Bush/Quayle won the 2000 presidential election with the campaign slogan “Family Values”. The very next day, Pelosi ran to the microphones to insist that the Democrat Party was the real party of family values. This is how they used to react to their environment, with mimicry and faux human behavior. That was a little over 20 years ago. In your wildest dreams, can you imagine any of today’s Democrats extolling the virtues of any kind of “traditional” values, let alone family values?

Let’s say it started with the New Deal and Social Security back in the 30s. Fine. Next came the civil rights movement and women’s lib. I’m down with that. Then all of a sudden, gay people wanted to get married. That represented a strategic change. Up until then, gay activists insisted that they didn’t need a piece of paper from the State to validate their love for one another. But isn’t marriage based on property rights? Doesn’t getting married mean that you are selling out to the Establishment? No matter. Whether you’re for it or against it, gay marriage was just another taboo of the middle-class-hangup generation being smashed to pieces.

“Marriage is a holy union between one man and one woman!” If you say so. All I can tell you is that my marriage was anything but holy. But hey, if same-sex people want to get married, go knock yourselves out. You can’t do any worse than I did. Just keep in mind that marriage is the leading cause of divorce in this country.

Ok, so gay marriage is a done deal. What could possibly top that in terms of cultural paradigm-shifting? I know it’s called LGBTQ, but I prefer Genderqueer. One of the reasons why I like Genderqueer is because it brings the perfectly fine word “queer” back into play after being banned all these years by the gay community. But I must tell you, this LGBTQ-Genderqueer stuff, it’s too weird, even for me. Scientifically speaking, for me this is the official branching-off point between Homo sapiens and Homo wokensis. It’s not just a different mental level, which can be viewed subjectively, but a biological one centered around reproductive behavior.

All children born in the last, say, 5 or 10 years have no gender. If they do have a gender, it is because they were mis-gendered at birth and need to be transitioned. This has nothing to do

with Communism, Marxism, or Socialism. At best, you can say that it's a tenet of Marxist thought that has been repurposed to accommodate Wokist trannyism. All you really need to know is that gender is an antiquated mythical social construct imposed on the population by the White Supremacist System of Oppression in order to perpetuate Fascism.

For hundreds of millions of years, life on Earth has been characterized by binary sexual reproduction, i.e., males and females. There are male and female frogs. There are male and female dragonflies. There are male and female woodpeckers. There are male and female *H. sapiens*. However, there are no male or female *H. wokensis*. The latest hatchlings of Wokes are all either non-gendered or multi-gendered. If this isn't speciation, what is?

The LGBTQ-Genderqueer toxic meme is currently dominant. It informs all of Wokedom. Global Warming, arguably the most successful toxic meme in Woke history, is now being backburnered, at least for the time-being, to make way for the consciousness-raising campaign focused on the epidemic of mis-gendered youth.

Here's where my curiosity gets the better of me. There are dozens of different genders. New ones are being discovered by "science" every day. So why is every desperately needed Gender Affirmative Care medical emergency either a male to female or female to male binary transition? Has someone been found yet that was mis-assigned at birth with gender #17 and urgently needs to be transitioned to gender #42 before it's too late? Sounds to me like a system that's doomed to collapse under the weight of its own internal inconsistencies.

This psycho-sexual aspect of Wokism has reached such heights of absurdity that even some normal people are starting to take notice.

"Men can get pregnant." No, they can't. Men don't possess ovaries or uteri. If a man had ovaries and a uterus, he wouldn't be a man, he'd be a woman. "Female impersonators (faux trannies) should be allowed to participate in women's sports." No, they shouldn't. Men are bigger and stronger than women. That's why we have women's sports in the first place. For *H. sapiens*, this is blandly obvious. However, if you try to explain this to Wokes using facts, data, logic, reason and common sense, you will be sadly disappointed, perhaps even flustered, as they will have no idea what you are talking about. This is called projection. You would be guilty of projecting your own taken-for-granted cognitive abilities onto these creatures, cognitive abilities which they no longer possess. It would be like exhorting a flightless bird to fly away even though it no longer has wings.

A good example of this comes in the person of Riley Gaines (a woman) who competed in women's sports (swimming) in college. She was defeated by a soi-disant tranny male named Lia nee William Thomas. In fact, Lia nee William Thomas won the NCAA swimming championship by defeating every woman who competed against him/her/it/them. Riley Gaines is now on a speaking tour advocating for not allowing men to compete in women's sports for all the obvious reasons. Much has been made about the fact that when Riley Gaines speaks, she is calm, even-keeled, even-tempered, polite, etc. She's goes out of her way to not be histrionic, vituperative,

argumentative, confrontational or accusatory. No matter. Recently after finishing a speech, she was attacked by a mob of Wokes who I would say had the intention of beating her to death. She had to be barricaded in a room by police for 3 hours to stay safe - like in a zombie movie.

I want to impress upon the reader this distinction between *H. sapiens* and *H. wokensis*. It doesn't matter what you do or say. It doesn't matter what you don't do or don't say. It doesn't matter if any particular claim is true or false. If it has been determined that you are a threat to the Woke hive mind, you will be attacked and targeted for destruction.

I also want to emphasize that these creatures are not sub-human or non-human. They are AMHs (fully anatomically modern humans) of the genus *Homo*. Perhaps this is the source of all the confusion. They are like us in so many ways that it's understandable why one would naturally assume that they must be *H. sapiens*. And regarding them as a new species is conceptually, I'm sure, a bridge too far for most people. Skepticism is an integral part of the Scientific Method and is welcomed. Just bear in mind that hominin speciation is marked more by changes in cognitive abilities than it is by any dramatic changes in physiological features.

There is one trait that seems to have been transferred from humans to Wokes and that trait is religious belief. For you or me, it might be Christianity, Islam, Judaism, Hinduism, Jainism, and so on. For the Wokes, it is Global Warming. In my book *Logic Bug*, I devoted an entire chapter to discussing Global Warming, so if you're interested you can read more about it there. Let me just say that for the last 30 or 40 years I've been told that we're all going to die of Global Warming any second now. You would "think" that after all this time maybe even one Woke would catch on to the scam, but to my knowledge that hasn't happened yet. It's a testament to their blind fanaticism. And when they refer to Global Warming as a "science", please remember that the word "science" has a completely different meaning in the Woke language as opposed to the English-speaking definition.

# 5

## Conclusion

The Woke have created a fantasy world for themselves. In this Brave New Woke world, criminals are the victims of oppression, and the victims of crime are the criminals. Humans want to increase the food supply. Wokes want to decrease it by restricting the use of fertilizers which will lead to shortages and starvation. Humans want to increase the supply of cheap energy to facilitate growth, prosperity, and innovation. Wokes want to decrease it by eliminating fossil fuels. This policy is already leading to skyrocketing energy prices and, how should I put it, a less comfortable and more perilous life.

Apparently, Wokes believe that food, water, electricity and medicine happen by magic and do not require human industry.

Human populations are comprised overwhelmingly of men and women. Woke populations are comprised of non-gendered, multi-gendered, non-binary, gender-fluid and genderqueer entities.

Humans want their kids to grow up to live happy, successful lives. Woke birthing persons want their offspring, if they survive live-birth, to be mutilated and sterilized by Gender Affirmative Care.

Humans want to send their kids to school to learn the basics – reading, writing, and arithmetic. Wokes consider reading, writing, and arithmetic to be relics of an antiquated fascist racist patriarchal system of oppression, and this is what the kids are “taught” instead.

Humans are worried about nuclear war and souped-up viruses that really do go viral. Wokes insist that we’re all going to die of Global Warming any second now, and they’ve been preaching this end-of-days scenario for decades now.

If I didn’t know better, I would say that the Wokes want to turn this planet into one enormous homeless encampment riddled with disease and overseen by psychotically violent drug gangs. My guess is that most humans would be philosophically opposed to this innovative approach to societal organization. Although in California, the Wokocracy has come up with a “solution” to the burgeoning homeless crisis. The “solution” is to give out more free money to the homeless. Call me crazy, but I don’t think this is going to “solve” anything.

Humans want to live in freedom. Wokes don’t.

Don’t worry. We’re just as alien to them as they are to us.

The Wokes may not be big on borders, but they definitely have their own language and culture. If every time you hear humans talking with Wokes and it seems like they are speaking in two different languages, it's because they are. While humans can be incredibly deceptive when, say, trying to sell you a bridge, in normal conversation they usually don't automatically or instinctively resort to subterfuge. The Wokes, on the other hand, have developed a language for themselves that routinely consists of misleading, misrepresentative, deflective and evasive forms of communicating disinformation. In other words, they lie. Although, to be fair, they may not be actually cognizant of that fact. Every public appearance or statement issued is regarded as an opportunity to broadcast the propagandistic Wokedelic message. Conscious existence for them is one gigantic 24/7 psyops campaign.

People are afraid to speak out, and for good reason. Oppose the Woke, and they will do everything in their power to ruin your life. Most people, and that includes yours truly, can't afford to take that risk. The result of all this social pressure and censorship is that non-reality has now become our de facto reality. Case in point. The other day at a Congressional hearing MTG called Mayorkas a liar, which, of course, he is, at least by human standards. Could this be "the Emperor has no clothes" moment that we've been waiting for?

Of course not. For simply stating the obvious, MTG was chided and castigated. The Woke Progressive Republicans rallied around Mayorkas and insisted on perpetuating the fiction that he is a dignified, honorable public servant of unimpeachable character and integrity worthy of our respect and deference.

For how much longer are we all supposed to pretend that the definition of a woman is a man who puts on a dress? That food and water are vastly overrated? That printing trillions and trillions of dollars every year won't lead to collapse? That allowing criminals to rape and murder us is social justice? In all likelihood, the next couple of months will see Trump being charged with dozens, if not hundreds, of additional bogus felonies. For how much longer are we the people supposed to pretend that any of this is real?

That thinking we are rational beings is, in fact, irrational?